

January 27, 1976

PRESIDENT: Is there an objection? Seeing none, the bill is laid over.

CLERK: The next one, Mr. President, is LB 622. There were no E & R amendments. This is Senator Luedtke's bill. There are amendments offered by Senator Luedtke.

SENATOR LUEDTKE: I move the adoption of the amendment on LB 622. This was the notary public bill. This is one of the Revisors bills and on Revisors bills we normally do not have any amendments other than an amendment which would be an revisors amendment and the amendment is one which the revisor should have put in and that is to change 20 years of age to 19 years of age since that is the age of majority in Nebraska and so it merely changes in subsection 6 of 64-101 to nineteen where it previously read twenty. That is it.

PRESIDENT: Any further discussion? The question is, the adoption of Senator Luedtke's amendment. Record your vote. Could we have some voting, please? Record.

CLERK: 28 ayes, 0 nays.

PRESIDENT: Are you going to advance the bill, Senator?

SENATOR LUEDTKE: I move to advance the bill.

PRESIDENT: You have heard the motion. Senator Luedtke moves to advance the bill. Any discussion? All those in favor say aye. Contrary say nay. The bill is advanced. LB 545, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: LB 545, there are E & R amendments.

PRESIDENT: There are? Senator Dworak.

SENATOR DWORAK: Mr. President, I move the adoption of the E & R amendments to LB 544...545, excuse me, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: You have heard the motion. Yes. Any discussion? All those in favor say aye. Contrary say nay. The amendments are adopted.

CLERK: Now, Mr. President, there is pending a motion offered by Senator Kennedy to amend the bill as follows: Read. See page 221, Journal.

SENATOR KENNEDY: Mr. President and fellow members, real brief, I would like to say that the other day when this bill was amended to \$2,000, I immediately, which I should have done before, went for a research on it. There was a reason. The question was brought up to us, why was the original \$1500 stated. This was so that it would be in compliance with our social security, so that it would be in compliance with medicare, medicaid, so that it would be in compliance with the general overall of the United States in welfare, particularly in this case, the care of the child. This particular bill must be amended to go back to \$1500 and I would request you very sincerely